

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,791	11/29/2001	Geert Maertens	2551-68	9146
75	590 01/23/2003			
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			EXAMINER	
1100 North Gle Arlington, VA	ebe Road, 8th Floor 22201		WORTMAN, DONNA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comme	09/995,791	MAERTENS ET AL.	MAERTENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donna C. Wortman						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim ill apply and will expire SI cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirly (30) days will be considered timely.  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2	9/01						
	s action is non-fina	al					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriiror.						
<u> </u>	priority under 35	II S C & 119(a)_(d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •						
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:					

Art Unit: 1648

نه

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, 2, 3, 5-7, and 14, insofar as drawn to compositions comprising a recombinant HCV E1 protein, classified in class 424, subclass 228.1.
- II. Claim 1, 2, 3, 5-7, and 14, insofar as drawn to compositions comprising a recombinant HCV E2 protein, classified in class 424, subclass 228.1.
- III-XXVIII. Claims 4, 5, 6 and 8, each invention drawn to one of the 26 different peptides corresponding to SEQ ID NO. 56, 57, 58, 59, 53, 66, 67, 68, 72, 73, 86, 87, 88, 83, 82, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, or 122, respectively, classified in class 424, subclass 189.1.
- XXIX. Claims 9-13, drawn to an HCV E1/E2 complex, classified in class 424, subclass 228.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and XXIX are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an element of an affinity purification device for isolating HCV E1-specific antibodies and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species

Art Unit: 1648

are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and XXIX are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an element of an affinity purification device for isolating HCV E2-specific antibodies and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I-XXIX are patentably distinct products having different amino acid sequences and different immunological properties.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and because the search required for any one group is separate from the search required for any other

Art Unit: 1648

group, resulting in a burden on the Office if more than one invention were to be searched and examined together, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna C. Wortman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1648

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Donna C. Wortman, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648

dcw January 21, 2003